## Order theoretic Correspondence theory for Intuitionistic mu-calculus

## Sumit Sourabh

June 15, 2012

## Abstract

Sahlqvist correspondence theory [3], [4] is one of the most important and useful results of classical modal logic. It gives a syntactic identification of a class of modal formulas whose associated normal modal logics are strongly complete with respect to elementary (i.e. first-order definable) classes of frames. Every Sahlqvist formula is both canonical and corresponds to some elementary frame condition which can be effectively obtained from the formula. Recently this class has significantly been extended to inductive and complex formulas in [2]; moreover, analogous characterizations for logics with a weaker than Boolean propositional base have been given, thanks to a novel, duality based approach [1].

Using the algebraic approach, Sahlqvist and inductive formulas can be equivalently defined in terms of order theoretic conditions on the algebraic interpretation of the logical connectives. The present talk is about an ongoing work with Alessandra Palmigiano and Yves Fomatati, where we focus on modal mu-calculus. Concretely, we generalize the results in [5] to the wider setting of Intuitionistic mu-calculus. We identify the order-theoretic principles leading to the identification of the Sahlqvist mu-formulas in [5], extending it to the Intuitionistic mu-calculus. We also expand the calculus for correspondence defined for Distributive modal logic in [1], which allows us to define an ALBA-type algorithm [1] for logics with fixpoints.

## References

- [1] W. Conradie and A. Palmigiano. Algorithmic correspondence and canonicity for distributive modal logic. Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, 163(3):338 376, 2012.
- [2] V. Goranko and D. Vakarelov. Elementary canonical formulae: extending sahlqvist's theorem. Ann. Pure Appl. Logic, 141(1-2):180-217, 2006.
- [3] H. Sahlqvist. Completeness and correspondence in the first and second order semantics for modal logic. pages 110–143, Amsterdam, 1975. North-Holland.
- [4] J. van Benthem. Correspondence theory. In D. M. Gabbay and F. Guenthner, editors, Handbook of philosophical logic, volume 3, pages 325–408. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001.
- [5] J. van Benthem, N. Bezhanishvili, and I. M. Hodkinson. Sahlqvist correspondence for modal mu-calculus. *Studia Logica*, 100(1-2):31–60, 2012.