Abstract

Truthmaking theories can be presented as competitors to correspondence theories of truth or as a version of such theories. There does not, however, appear to be much discussion as to what way of presenting the relation between the two theories would be optimal, and the issue left to the discretion of individual authors. I discuss how to present the relation between truthmaking and correspondence theories through arguing, first, that truthmaking and correspondence theories are similar when viewed in light of the nature of the relation between truthbearers and features of the world both posit. Second, I maintain that neither truthmaking nor correspondence theories aim to define truth. Instead, both will be treated as attempts to provide a criterion of truth. I conclude that truthmaking theory is best presented as a version of the correspondence theory.